

CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD - SAN DIEGO REGION
WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

FACILITY INSPECTION REPORT

9338 320505

INSPECTION DATE: 2-13-03 TIME: 1:00 pm WDID: NA

FACILITY REPRESENTATIVE(S) PRESENT DURING INSPECTION: none

NAME OF OWNER, AGENCY OR PARTY RESPONSIBLE FOR DISCHARGE

OWNER CONTACT NAME AND PHONE #

Rylana Hones
FACILITY OR DEVELOPER NAME (if different from owner)

FACILITY OR DEVELOPER CONTACT NAME AND PHONE #

Natmeg
FACILITY STREET ADDRESS

Murrieta, CA
FACILITY CITY AND STATE

APPLICABLE WATER QUALITY LICENSING REQUIREMENTS

- ☐ MS4 URBAN RUNOFF REQUIREMENTS NPDES NOS. CAS0108758, CAS0108740 or CAS0108766
☒ GENERAL PERMIT ORDER NO. 99-08-DWQ, NPDES NO. CAS000002 - CONSTRUCTION
☐ GENERAL PERMIT ORDER NO. 99-06-DWQ, NPDES NO. CAS000003 - CALTRANS
☐ GENERAL OR INDIVIDUAL WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS
☐ GENERAL OR INDIVIDUAL WAIVER OF WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS
☐ SECTION 401 WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION
☐ CWC SECTION 13264

INSPECTION TYPE (Check One)

- A1 "A" type compliance--Comprehensive inspection in which samples are taken. (EPA Type S)
B1 X "B" type compliance--A routine nonsampling inspection. (EPA Type C)
O2 Noncompliance follow-up--Inspection made to verify correction of a previously identified violation.
O3 Enforcement follow-up--Inspection made to verify that conditions of an enforcement action are being met.
O4 Complaint--Inspection made in response to a complaint.
O5 Pre-requirement--Inspection made to gather info. relative to preparing, modifying, or rescinding requirements.
O6 No Exposure Certification (NEC) - verification that there is no exposure of industrial activities to storm water.
O7 Notice of termination request for industrial facilities or construction sites - verification that the facility or construction site is not subject to permit requirements (**Type, NOT I or NOT C - circle one**).
O8 Compliance Assistance Inspection - Outreach inspection due to discharger's request for compliance assistance.

INSPECTION FINDINGS

Y
N

Were violations noted during this inspection? (Yes/No/Pending Sample Results)

Were samples taken? (N=no) If YES then, G= grab or C= Composite and attach a copy of the sample results/chain of custody form

I. COMPLIANCE HISTORY:

FACILITY: Byland Home (WDID) _____ INSPECTION DATE: 2-13-03

II. FINDINGS

The northwest side of site, near the entrance, had decent BMP's. There was evidence of erosion, but no discharges were observed:

- ① Storm drain in cul-de-sac was protected, except for the open manhole, but this drain was not yet operational.
- ② Slopes near entrance are eroded.
- ③ Northwest slopes have been sprayed but are failing in areas. The storm drain on Jackson Ave (not sure if on Byland property) is surrounded by disturbed soil and the sand bags were not preventing discharge. Photos 5-7. The southwest slope, near Nutmeg Rd, appears to be contributing sediment to the receiving water - photos 8-9.

III. RECOMMENDATIONS AND ADDITIONAL COMMENTS.

IV. SIGNATURE SECTION

Inspection Report
received by:

FACILITY REPRESENTATIVE	SIGNATURE	TITLE	DATE
Megan Fisher & Debbie Woodward	<i>Megan Fisher</i>		2/13/03
STAFF INSPECTOR	<i>Debbie Woodward</i>	SIGNATURE	INSPECTION DATE

VI. (For internal use only)

Reviewed by Supervisor: _____ Date: _____

cc: Jeremy Johnstone (EPA), John Norton (SWRCB), City _____ Storm Drain Enforcer

Inter-office Referral: 1) _____ 2) _____ 3) _____ 4) _____ 5) _____

s: north watershed unit/forms/inspection form.doc (vrs. 11/22/00)